Suburban stream erosion rates in northern Kentucky exceed reference channels by an order of magnitude and follow predictable trajectories of channel evolution
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**Introduction**

Conventional stormwater management amplifies erosive power in suburban streams.

**Hypothesis**

Suburban streams (>5% Total Impervious Area, TIA) will experience greater rates of erosion than rural streams.

**Methods**

- Annually repeated surveys at 61 sites over ~10 years (Figures 1 and 2)
- Systematic measures of “bankfull” geometry
- Average rates of deepening and widening at each site via linear regression (Figure 3)

![Figure 1 – Site experiencing incision (Stage 2)](image1)
![Figure 2 – Site experiencing widening and aggradation (Stage 4)](image2)
![Figure 3 – Linear regression of changes in width and depth at two representative sites](image3)

**Results**

- Widening rates between rural (~58 to 20 cm/yr, avg. 1.0 cm/yr) and suburban (~11 to 61 cm/yr, avg. 0.4 cm/yr, Figure 4) were statistically notable (p = 0.11)
- Widening rates between Stage 2 (~58 to 61 cm/yr, avg. 0.3 cm/yr) and Stage 4 (10 to 50 cm/yr, avg. 17 cm/yr, Figure 5) were statistically different per an LSD test
- Deepening rates between Stage 2 (~5.8 to 36 cm/yr, avg. 5.2 cm/yr, Figure 5) were statistically higher than all other CEM stages except Stage 4 per an LSD test

**Discussion**

- Historical data (e.g. 21 to 34 cm/yr of widening at one suburban site over 44 years) are consistent with widening rates over that last decade
- Streams in suburban watersheds are also significantly wider than rural streams after accounting for drainage area (W = 7.18 * DA^0.12 vs. TIA^0.15)
  \[ W = 9.4 \text{ cm/yr} \]
- A stream with 30% TIA would be ~25% wider than a stream with 2% TIA
- Stormwater management that restricts erosive discharges can help to facilitate a geomorphic recovery (transition from Stage 4 to 5, Figure 6)

![Figure 4 – Rates of widening and deepening by watershed TIA](image4)
![Figure 5 – Rates of widening and deepening by CEM Stage](image5)
![Figure 6 – Looking downstream at the same site from Figure 2 in July 2019 (~5.5 yrs after a stormwater retrofit was installed upstream (see Hawley et al., 2017)](image6)

**Erosion rates of suburban streams exceed rural streams by ~10x**

- Over a 10-yr study, the average widening rate of 45 suburban streams (>5% TIA) was 9.4 cm/yr compared to 1.0 cm/yr for rural streams
- Suburban streams follow predictable patterns of evolution, consistent with the “classic” Channel Evolution Model (CEM) of Schumm et al. (1984)

![Number of sites](image7)

- Only one suburban site showed signs of a potential recovery (transition from Stage 4 to 5), which was attributable to an upstream stormwater retrofit
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